

1 PETER L. CARR, IV (SBN 256104)  
2 NA'SHAUN L. NEAL (SBN 284280)  
3 LAUREN K. MCRAE (SBN 331296)  
4 **PLC LAW GROUP, APC**  
5 3756 SANTA ROSALIA DR., SUITE 326  
6 LOS ANGELES, CA 90008  
7 TELEPHONE: (310) 400-5890  
8 FACSIMILE: (310) 400-5895  
9 [pcarr@thePLClawgroup.com](mailto:pcarr@thePLClawgroup.com)  
10 [nneal@thePLClawgroup.com](mailto:nneal@thePLClawgroup.com)  
11 [lmcrae@thePLClawgroup.com](mailto:lmcrae@thePLClawgroup.com)

12 Attorneys for Plaintiffs,  
13 AIRIONNA BLINK and M.H, a minor,  
14 by and through his Guardian ad Litem,  
15 TIFFANY ARTERBERRY

16 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
17 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

18 AIRIONNA BLINK and M.H, a minor,  
19 by and through his Guardian ad Litem,  
20 TIFFANY ARTERBERRY,

21 Plaintiffs,

22 vs.

23 KERN HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT;  
24 EAST BAKERSFIELD HIGH  
25 SCHOOL; MARK CALVILLO and  
26 DOES 1 through 10 inclusive,

27 Defendants.

28 Case No:

29 **PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT**  
30 **FOR DAMAGES AND DEMAND**  
31 **FOR JURY TRIAL**

32 Plaintiff AIRIONNA BLINK and M.H, a minor, by and through his Guardian  
33 ad Litem, TIFFANY ARTERBERRY, complain of Defendants KERN HIGH  
34 SCHOOL DISTRICT, EAST BAKERSFIELD HIGH SCHOOL, MARK  
35 CALVILLO and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, as follows:

1 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

2 1. This is an action brought pursuant to the laws of the United States of America,  
3 to wit: 20 U.S.C. §1400 *et seq*; 42 U.S.C. §1983, 42 U.S.C. §1988, and California  
4 Civil Code §§ 51 and 52 *et seq*. The jurisdiction of this Court is predicated upon the  
5 above and 28 U.S.C. §1331. The amount in controversy exceeds the sum of seventy-  
6 five thousand dollars exclusive of interest and costs. Plaintiffs also assert that inherent  
7 in the enforcement powers of the Fourteenth Amendment, specifically §5 thereof,  
8 Congress has granted this Honorable Court, the power and ability to abrogate any and  
9 all immunity claims or defenses advanced by Defendants in order to perfect and  
10 maintain Plaintiffs' guaranteed Constitutional and civil rights.

11 2. This court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims arising under  
12 state law pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1337 (a), because those claims are so related to the  
13 federal claims that they form part of the same case or controversy under the Article  
14 III of the United States Constitution.

15 3. The venue is proper in the Eastern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C.  
16 §§ 1331(b) and (e). This court is proper because Defendants reside in this district and  
17 the unlawful actions challenged occurred in this district.

18 **CLAIMS STATUTE REQUIREMENT**

19 4. On August 8, 2022, Plaintiffs filed a timely Governmental Claim for  
20 Damages with the Kern High School District Board of Trustees. Said Claim for  
21 Damages was rejected by operation of law.

22 **PARTIES**

23 5. At all relevant times herein, Plaintiff M.H, a minor, by and through his  
24 Guardian ad Litem, TIFFANY ARTERBERRY (hereinafter "M.H."). At all relevant  
25 times herein, M.H. resided within the jurisdictional boundaries of Defendant Kern  
26 High School District. M.H. is currently 17 years old.

27 6. At all relevant times herein, Plaintiff AIRIONNA BLINK ("hereinafter  
28 "Airionna") resided within the jurisdictional boundaries of Defendant Kern High

1 School District.

2 7. At all relevant times herein, Defendant KERN HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT  
3 (hereinafter “KHSD”) was and is a legal entity for purposes of suit within the State  
4 of California. KHSD is duly authorized and empowered to make and enforce policies  
5 and procedures to effectuate the legal mandates of the law, specifically the relevant  
6 provisions of the IDEA, California Education Code, California Government Code,  
7 California Health and Safety Code, California Business and Professions Code and  
8 the California Penal Code. KHSD receives federal and state-issued funds intended to  
9 benefit its students. In order to receive said funds, KHSD must promise not to  
10 discriminate against any student and to uphold all laws to the fullest extent as  
11 intended by Congress and State Legislative bodies. Plaintiffs are informed and  
12 believe and thereon allege that KHSD had direct knowledge of the incidents alleged  
13 herein. KHSD was and is a governmental subdivision of California, duly authorized  
14 and empowered to establish, maintain, operate, and administer a system of public  
15 schools within the City of Bakersfield and County of Kern, California.

16 8. At all times material herein, Defendant EAST BAKERSFIELD HIGH  
17 SCHOOL was a school within the district of Defendant KHSD, a public school where  
18 M.H. and Airionna Blink attended. EAST BAKERSFIELD HIGH SCHOOL is  
19 located at 2200 Quincy Street, Bakersfield, California 93306.

20 9. At all times material herein, Defendant MARK CALVILLO was an individual  
21 and is a resident of the State of California and resident of the Central District of  
22 California. At all times relevant to the complaint, CALVILLO was employed by  
23 KHSD & EAST BAKERSFIELD HIGH SCHOOL as a Police Officer at EAST  
24 BAKERSFIELD HIGH SCHOOL and was acting under color of state law.

25 10. Plaintiffs are informed, believe, and based thereon allege that at all times  
26 material herein, Defendant DOES 1–10, inclusive, were each employees, agents, or  
27 principles of KHSD and/or EAST BAKERSFIELD HIGH SCHOOL and at the time  
28 of the acts hereinafter complained of, each said Defendant acted in the course and

1 scope of such employment/agency and under color of law.

2 11. The true names of Defendant DOES 1–10, inclusive, are not now known to  
3 Plaintiffs, who therefore sue said Defendants by such fictitious names. Upon  
4 ascertaining the true name of a DOE Defendants, Plaintiffs will amend this  
5 complaint, or seek leave to do so, by substitution same for a said fictitious name.  
6 Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereon allege, that each DOE  
7 Defendant herein is in some manner responsible for the injuries and damages  
8 complained of herein.

9 **FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS**

10 12. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege each and every preceding allegation of this  
11 Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

12 13. M.H. and Airionna are African-American.

13 14. On February 9, 2022, M.H. and Airionna were sitting on a bench at East  
15 Bakersfield High School when an adult male school security guard approached and  
16 questioned them about their whereabouts. When M.H. and Airionna explained that  
17 they were waiting on their uncle to pick them up, the security guard walked away.

18 15. Shortly after, another adult male security guard, DOE 1, approached M.H. and  
19 Airionna as they sat on a bench waiting to get picked up. DOE 1 told them to “get the  
20 fuck up” and go to class. M.H. and Airionna explained to DOE 1 that they were  
21 waiting to get picked up. DOE 1 called M.H. a “nigger” and told him that he “wasn’t  
22 shit”. DOE 1 then said, “Y’all ain’t never gonna be shit with y’all bum ass shoes.”  
23 M.H., who had on his P.E. shoes, took his school shoes out of his backpack and said  
24 they looked better than DOE 1’s shoes.

25 16. After, DOE 1 requested additional security and/or school police come to their  
26 location.

27 17. When additional security and School Police Officer Calvillo arrived, they told  
28 M.H. and Airionna that they needed to go to the office. M.H. and Airionna complied  
and began walking to the office.

1 18. As M.H. and Airionna walked to the office, Officer Calvillo forcefully yanked  
2 M.H. by his arm twice. Officer Calvillo yanked M.H. a third time, spun M.H. around  
3 so that they were facing each other and deployed his pepper spray.

4 19. Immediately after, an adult male security guard, DOE 2, tackled M.H., who  
5 was approximately 5'4" and 110-120 pounds, to the concrete ground and pressed his  
6 knee into M.H.'s neck and back.

7 20. Officer Calvillo and DOES 3 and 4, two additional adult male security guards,  
8 joined DOE 2. Together, they continuously pinned M.H. down to the ground by  
9 applying the pressure of their bodyweight onto M.H.'s neck, back and legs.

10 21. Officer Calvillo handcuffed M.H., forcefully pulled him up off of the ground  
11 and escorted him to the office.

12 22. Airionna arrived to the office, explaining that M.H. needed his inhaler which  
13 she had.

14 23. When M.H. stood up to get his inhaler, Officer Cavillo violently tackled and  
15 slammed M.H. onto the ground.

16 24. Suddenly, an adult male security guard, DOE 5, pepper sprayed Airionna.

17 25. DOE 5 slammed Airionna against the wall and handcuffed her.

18 26. Officer Cavillo pulled M.H. off the floor and ordered M.H. to sit down. Officer  
19 Cavillo threatened to tase M.H.

20 27. After additional police officers arrived, M.H. and Airionna were transported in  
21 handcuffs to a detention facility. Approximately six hours later, M.H. and Airionna  
22 were released from police custody.

23 28. M.H. and Airionna were expelled as a result of the foregoing.

24 29. M.H. was later criminally charged with resisting arrest. After spending nearly  
25 two months in a juvenile detention facility, the resisting arrest charge was dismissed.

26 30. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, M.H. suffered a concussion,  
27 continuous vomiting, severe physical pain, scrapes, cuts, bruises, extreme emotional  
28 distress, anxiety, depression, mental anguish, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of

1 liberty, loss of fundamental statutory rights, and loss of educational and  
2 developmental opportunities.

3 31. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, Airionna suffered severe physical  
4 pain, extreme emotional distress, anxiety, depression, mental anguish, humiliation,  
5 inconvenience, loss of liberty, loss of fundamental statutory rights, and loss of  
6 educational and developmental opportunities.

7 32. On information and belief, Officer Calvillo was equipped with a body-worn  
8 camera during this incident but failed to activate it.

9 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

10 **Unreasonable Search and Seizure (42 U.S.C. § 1983)**

11 **(PLAINTIFFS against DEFENDANT MARK CALVILLO and DOES 1–10)**

12 33. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every allegation contained in the  
13 foregoing paragraphs, as well as any subsequent paragraphs contained in the  
14 Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.

15 34. Defendants, while acting under color of state laws, caused Plaintiffs to be  
16 detained, arrested and searched in violation of their rights to be secure in their  
17 persons against unreasonable searches and seizures as guaranteed to Plaintiffs under  
18 the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution and applied to state actors  
19 by the Fourteenth Amendment.

20 35. Plaintiffs were detained, arrested and searched without a warrant, reasonable  
21 suspicion or probable cause.

22 36. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, M.H. suffered a concussion,  
23 continuous vomiting, severe physical pain, scrapes, cuts, bruises, extreme emotional  
24 distress, anxiety, depression, mental anguish, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of  
25 liberty, loss of fundamental statutory rights, and loss of educational and  
26 developmental opportunities.

27 37. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, Airionna suffered severe physical  
28 pain, extreme emotional distress, anxiety, depression, mental anguish, humiliation,

1 inconvenience, loss of liberty, loss of fundamental statutory rights, and loss of  
2 educational and developmental opportunities.

3 38. As a result of the conduct of Defendants, they are liable for Plaintiffs'  
4 injuries, either because they were integral participants in the wrongful detentions  
5 and arrests, or because they failed to intervene to prevent violations.

6 39. The conduct of Defendants was willful, wanton, malicious, and done with  
7 reckless disregard for the rights and safety of Plaintiffs and therefore warrants the  
8 imposition of exemplary and punitive damages as to Defendants.

9 40. Plaintiffs seek attorney fees under this claim pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

10 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

11 **Excessive Force (42 U.S.C. § 1983)**

12 **(PLAINTIFFS against DEFENDANT MARK CALVILLO and DOES 1–10)**

13 41. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every allegation contained in the  
14 foregoing paragraphs, as well as any subsequent paragraphs contained in the  
15 Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.

16 42. Plaintiffs' right to be secure in their persons against unreasonable seizures as  
17 guaranteed to Plaintiffs under the Fourth Amendment of the United States  
18 Constitution and applied to state actors by the Fourteenth Amendment, was violated  
19 when:

- 20 a. Officer Calvillo forcefully yanked M.H. by his arm twice;
- 21 b. Officer Calvillo yanked M.H. a third time, spun M.H. around so that  
22 they were facing each other and deployed his pepper spray.
- 23 c. DOE 2, tackled M.H to the concrete ground and pressed his knee into  
24 M.H.'s neck and back;
- 25 d. Officer Calvillo and DOES 2, 3 and 4 continuously pinned M.H. down  
26 to the ground by applying the pressure of their bodyweight onto  
27 M.H.'s neck, back and legs;
- 28 e. Officer Calvillo handcuffed M.H.;

- 1 f. Officer Calvillo forcefully pulled M.H. up off of the ground;
- 2 g. Officer Cavillo violently tackled and slammed M.H. onto the ground;
- 3 h. DOE 5 pepper sprayed Airionna;
- 4 i. DOE 5 slammed Airionna against the wall and handcuffed her; and
- 5 j. Officer Cavillo pulled M.H. off the floor.

6 43. Both prior to and during the time in which the Defendants injured Plaintiffs,  
7 Plaintiffs posed no reasonable threat of violence or danger to the Defendants or to  
8 any other individual. Furthermore, Plaintiffs made no aggressive movements,  
9 furtive actions or physical movements that would have suggested to a reasonable  
10 officer that Plaintiffs were armed with any kind of weapon, or had the will or the  
11 ability to inflict substantial bodily harm against any individual.

12 44. Both prior to and during the time in which the Defendants attacked and  
13 injured Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs did not consent to the use of force used upon them.

14 45. This use of force was excessive and unreasonable under the circumstances,  
15 especially since Plaintiffs had done nothing violent before, during or after being  
16 physically attacked by Defendants.

17 46. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, M.H. suffered a concussion,  
18 continuous vomiting, severe physical pain, scrapes, cuts, bruises, extreme emotional  
19 distress, anxiety, depression, mental anguish, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of  
20 liberty, loss of fundamental statutory rights, and loss of educational and  
21 developmental opportunities.

22 47. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, Airionna suffered severe physical  
23 pain, extreme emotional distress, anxiety, depression, mental anguish, humiliation,  
24 inconvenience, loss of liberty, loss of fundamental statutory rights, and loss of  
25 educational and developmental opportunities.

26 48. As a result of the conduct of Defendants, they are liable for Plaintiffs'  
27 injuries, either because they were integral participants in the use of excessive force,  
28 or because they failed to intervene to prevent violations.

1 49. The conduct of Defendants was willful, wanton, malicious, and done with  
2 reckless disregard for the rights and safety of Plaintiffs and therefore warrants the  
3 imposition of exemplary and punitive damages as to Defendants.

4 50. Plaintiffs also seek attorney fees under this claim pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §  
5 1988.

6 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

7 **Malicious Prosecution (42 U.S.C. § 1983)**

8 **(PLAINTIFF M.H. against DEFENDANT MARK CALVILLO  
9 and DOES 1-10)**

10 51. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation and fact  
11 contained in the preceding paragraphs of this complaint as though fully set forth  
12 herein.

13 52. Defendants, while acting under color of law, deprived Plaintiff of his right  
14 to be free from malicious prosecution.

15 53. Defendants contributed to, caused, and/or initiated a criminal prosecution  
16 against Plaintiff with malice and/or with the purpose of depriving him of his  
17 constitutional rights. Defendants did not have probable cause to arrest or prosecute  
18 Plaintiff for resisting arrest, and initiated prosecution for the purpose of evading  
19 civil liability. The prosecution ultimately terminated in Plaintiff's favor, as the  
20 resisting arrest charge was dismissed. Defendants knew or should have known the  
21 arrest of Plaintiff lacked probable cause, and that Plaintiff was innocent.

22 54. Plaintiff brings this action under federal law. The constitutional source  
23 against malicious prosecution is primarily the due process clause of the Fourteenth  
24 Amendment, and Plaintiff's due process rights were violated by the conduct  
25 alleged herein. Plaintiff brings this claim as both a procedural and substantive due  
26 process violation. To the extent that any court were to conclude that the source of  
27 Plaintiff's right to be free from malicious prosecution is any constitutional source

1 other than due process (such as the Fourth or Fifth Amendments), this claim is  
2 brought on those bases as well.

3 55. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, M.H. suffered anxiety, depression,  
4 mental anguish, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of liberty, loss of fundamental  
5 statutory rights, and loss of educational and developmental opportunities.

6 56. The conduct of Defendants was willful, wanton, malicious, and done with  
7 reckless disregard for the rights and safety of Plaintiffs and therefore warrants the  
8 imposition of exemplary and punitive damages as to each individual Defendant.

9 57. Plaintiff also seeks attorney fees under this claim pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §  
10 1988.

11 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

12 **Violation of Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act (42 U.S.C. § 1983)**

13 **(PLAINTIFFS against DEFENDANT MARK CALVILLO**

14 **and DOES 1-10)**

15 58. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege each and every preceding allegation of this  
16 Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

17 59. Defendants' above-described conduct violated Plaintiffs' right to be free from  
18 race discrimination by and/or within any educational program or activity which  
19 receives federal financial assistance. Wherefore, Plaintiffs request relief as set forth  
20 in the Prayer below.

21 60. Due to KHSD's agents and employees' discriminatory conduct (i.e.,  
22 Defendants' excessive force, false arrest, use of racial slur and unjustifiable  
23 expulsion), M.H. and Airionna were wrongfully denied educational services to which  
24 they were otherwise entitled, based on their protected class as African Americans.

25 61. The acts and omissions of Defendants were malicious, wanton, oppressive, and  
26 accomplished with a conscious disregard for the rights of Plaintiffs, entitling them to  
27 an award of exemplary and punitive damages. Plaintiffs do not seek exemplary and  
28 punitive damages against any public entity.

1 62. Defendants are liable either because they were integral participants in the  
2 violative conduct or because they failed to intervene to prevent said violative conduct.

3 63. Plaintiffs also seek attorney fees under this claim pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

4 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

5 **Violation of the Equal Protection Clause (42 U.S.C. § 1983)**

6 **(PLAINTIFFS against DEFENDANT MARK CALVILLO and DOES 1–10)**

7 64. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate each of the allegations of this Complaint as if  
8 fully set forth herein, and further alleges as follows:

9 65. M.H. and Airionna were treated differently and less favorably than similarly  
10 situated students who were not African American by employees and agents of KHSD,  
11 as more fully set forth in this complaint. Specifically, Defendants used extreme force,  
12 arrested and expelled M.H. and Airionna when failing to use the same force, arrest  
13 or expel non-Black students for similar conduct. In addition to the disproportionate  
14 rates of force, arrest and expulsion, Defendants' racial animus is further shown by  
15 DOE 1 calling M.H. a "nigger".

16 66. Through their actions, policies, educational practices and conduct directed at  
17 M.H. and Airionna and, on information and belief, other African American students,  
18 Defendants deprived Plaintiffs of their constitutional right to equal protection under  
19 the law in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments  
20 to the Constitution of the United States, because Defendants' practices constitute  
21 differential treatment solely on the basis of race, and without probable cause observed  
22 and determined on an individual, case-by-case basis.

23 67. Defendants intentionally, purposefully and willfully caused the deprivation of  
24 Plaintiffs' constitutional rights to equal protection under the law.

25 68. The acts and omissions of Defendants were malicious, wanton, oppressive, and  
26 accomplished with a conscious disregard for the rights of Plaintiffs, entitling them to  
27 an award of exemplary and punitive damages. Plaintiffs do not seek exemplary and  
28 punitive damages against any public entity.

1 69. Defendants are liable either because they were integral participants in the  
2 violative conduct or because they failed to intervene or prevent said conduct despite  
3 having the opportunity to do so.

4 70. Plaintiffs also seek attorney fees under this claim pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

5 **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

6 **Custom, Practice or Policy Causing Violation of Civil Rights (42 U.S.C. § 1983)**  
7 **(PLAINTIFFS against DEFENDANTS KHSD, EAST BAKERSFIELD HIGH**  
8 **SCHOOL and DOES 6-10)**

9 71. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege each and every preceding allegation of this  
10 Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

11 72. On or about February 22, 2022, Defendants, as employees and/or agents of  
12 KHSD and EAST BAKERSFIELD HIGH SCHOOL, deprived Plaintiffs of their  
13 rights, privileges, and immunities secured by the Fourth, Fifth and Fourteenth  
14 Amendments of the United States Constitution, by maintaining, enforcing, condoning,  
15 tolerating and/or accepting the following policies, practices and/or customs:

- 16 a. use of racial discrimination against Black and Latino students by faculty  
17 and staff;
- 18 b. discriminatory suspending and expelling of minority students at  
19 disproportionate rates;
- 20 c. use of excessive and unnecessary physical and mechanical restraint and  
21 other physical force on children by faculty and staff;
- 22 d. failure to train and ensure compliance with state laws pertaining to  
23 restraints, including but not limited to restrictions on the physical and  
24 mechanical restraint of children, documentation and parent notification  
25 requirements for restraint and injury, and proper body camera  
26 procedures;
- 27 e. failure to properly investigate and/or document constitutional violations  
28 against students by faculty and staff;

- 1 f. failure to discipline, reprimand or punish faculty and staff for violating
- 2 students' right to be free from excessive force, false detention/arrest
- 3 and/or race discrimination;
- 4 g. school police's failure to use their body cameras or using their body
- 5 cameras properly, during handcuffings and other use of force incidents
- 6 at school;
- 7 h. negligent hiring, retaining, training, assigning, supervising and
- 8 disciplining faculty and staff who are predisposed to excessive force,
- 9 false arrest and/or racial discrimination; and
- 10 i. permitting, condoning, and ratifying violations by employees/agents.

11 73. Said acts and omissions, practices, customs, or policies by Defendants were  
12 the driving force behind the violation of constitutional rights and damages  
13 complained of herein by Plaintiffs.

14 74. In recent decades, school districts have drastically expanded school police  
15 programs. Instead of addressing student behaviors by providing positive supports or  
16 through administrative discipline, school administrators now call the police. The  
17 addition of police officers in schools has not made schools safer and instead has  
18 increased the criminalization of minorities, specifically African American students.<sup>1</sup>  
19 According to the U.S. Department of Education's 2015-16 Civil Rights Data  
20 Collection Survey, Black students make up 15% of student enrollment nationally but  
21 31% of students referred to law enforcement or arrested at school.

22 75. The following list exemplifies the aforementioned policies, customs, practices  
23 and usages of Defendants:

- 24 a. According to media reports, Patricia Crawford, an African American  
25 Bakersfield mother, explained in a 2011 interview that her daughter was  
26 expelled from a KHSD school in 2010 for a fight in a gym witnesses

27 <sup>1</sup> Emily K. Weisburst, Patrolling Public Schools: The Impact of Funding for School Police on Student Discipline and  
28 Long-Term Education Outcomes, 38 J. OF POLICY ANALYSIS & MANAGEMENT 338  
(2019) (finding that federal grants placing police on school campuses increased sanctions for low-level offenses,  
particularly for Black students, and decreased high school graduation rates).

1 agreed she didn't start. Crawford's daughter was later cleared, but for  
2 weeks, her mother said, her daughter fell behind as teachers declined  
3 requests for homework to do at home. Although the girl was fully  
4 exonerated, she was placed on probation when admitted back into to her  
5 school and forbidden from participating in volleyball, a sport at which  
6 she excelled. The girl was identified in her school records as a "problem  
7 student" and her record impacted school administrators' and teachers'  
8 treatment of her.

9 b. According to a 2014 media report, Antonio M., a Latino student, was  
10 expelled from Arvin High School in Kern County for an alleged fight he  
11 denied being involved in. Antonio's parents, who do not read English,  
12 said they were given paperwork in English they thought authorized a  
13 five-day suspension. In reality, the parents signed a waiver to an  
14 expulsion hearing.

15 c. In July of 2017 KHSD settled a lawsuit brought on behalf of African  
16 American and Latino high school students, alleging that African  
17 American and Latino students suffer discrimination from disciplinary  
18 practices that remove them at disproportionate rates from regular school  
19 and place them in inferior alternative settings. The lawsuit was filed in  
20 response to data provided by the U.S. Department of Education's Civil  
21 Rights Office showing that Kern County schools were **suspending and**  
22 **expelling African American students at a rate 500% higher than**  
23 **Caucasian, and Latinos at a rate of 380% higher.** Blacks were 8% of  
24 enrollment but 15% of expulsions. Among the complaints in the lawsuit  
25 was a situation involving Jerry Reagor, a black Foothill High School  
26 student who was suspended and involuntarily transferred for a  
27 seemingly minor infraction. The lawsuit said, Jerry removed his hat  
28 upon entering school as requested by the dean. At the end of the school

1 day, he returned to the office to retrieve his hat and was told he would  
2 have to wait until the dean was finished with her meeting. He said he  
3 didn't want to be late for eighth period. While he continued to wait,  
4 several other students came to the office and picked up their belongings,  
5 the lawsuit charges. Jerry approached the dean's office to knock on the  
6 door. School police were called. He later got his hat back, but was  
7 suspended for five days and involuntarily transferred to Tierra del Sol  
8 High School, an alternative school with fewer education options that is  
9 a school of last resort for students who are not succeeding.

10 d. According to an April 2022 media report, Ms. Farris, a teacher at  
11 Centennial High School, which is a part of KHSD, referred to a Black  
12 student using racial slurs, including calling him a “nigger”, on several  
13 occasions. The boy’s mom confronted the vice principal, who justified  
14 the teacher’s comments. When a fellow student went to the office to tell  
15 them that the teacher should not be allowed to call a student a racial slur,  
16 the administration told her that Eminem says it too.  
17 e. Cell phone video taken in February 2022 KHSD police officers violently  
18 tackling a Black student, prompting students, parents, and community  
19 members to call for an investigation into the district’s police department  
20 about the use of force against kids in Kern County schools.

21 76. On information and belief, Defendants attended training programs related to  
22 anti-discrimination prior to the incident with Plaintiffs.

23 77. On information and belief, Defendants attended training programs related to  
24 use of force prior to the incident with Plaintiffs.

25 78. On information and belief, Defendants attended training programs related to  
26 investigation of crimes and lawful searches and seizures prior to the incident with  
27 Plaintiffs.

28 79. Despite Defendants receiving training that was designed to prevent the conduct

1 described in this Complaint, Defendants violated Plaintiffs' constitutional rights as  
2 described in this Complaint.

3 80. Prior to the incident, Defendant KHSD and EAST BAKERSFIELD HIGH  
4 SCHOOL knew that its training program was insufficient to prevent the type of civil  
5 rights violations Plaintiffs suffered but did nothing to prevent said misconduct.  
6 Defendant KHSD and EAST BAKERSFIELD HIGH SCHOOL had knowledge,  
7 prior to and since this incident, of similar allegations of illegal searches and seizures,  
8 wrongful battery, dishonesty, discriminatory and improper tactics, and corruption by  
9 KHSD employees, including the individual Defendants herein, and refused, with  
10 deliberate indifference, to enforce or establish administrative procedures to ensure  
11 public safety and the protection of civilians' rights.

12 81. The aforesaid policies, customs, practices and usages described in this  
13 Complaint were the moving force that caused Plaintiffs to be subjected to the  
14 unconstitutional acts of Defendants as described herein.

15 82. By reason of the aforesaid policies, customs, practices and usages, Plaintiffs'  
16 rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution  
17 were violated.

18 **SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

19 **Equal Protection Violation under the California Constitution**

20 **(PLAINTIFFS against all DEFENDANTS)**

21 83. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every preceding allegation of this  
22 Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein

23 84. The practices described herein violate Plaintiffs' right to the equal protection  
24 of the laws as guaranteed by Article I, Section 7 of the California Constitution  
25 because Defendants' practices constitute differential treatment solely on the basis of  
26 race, and without probable cause observed and determined on an individual, case-by-  
27 case basis.

28 85. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein,

1 Plaintiffs have been discriminated against because of their race; greatly  
2 inconvenienced; denied educational services to which they were entitled; and  
3 otherwise humiliated.

4 86. Unless restrained or enjoined by this court, Defendants will continue to subject  
5 M.H., and on information and belief, other African American students, to unfair and  
6 inadequate education services, wrongful deprivation of education services, shame,  
7 humiliation, inconvenience, loss of fundamental statutory rights, and loss of  
8 educational and developmental opportunities, all to Plaintiffs' damage.

9 87. Plaintiff has no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law, and for that reason,  
10 seeks declaratory and injunctive relief.

11 88. As a result of the conduct of Defendants, they are liable for Plaintiffs' injuries.  
12 As a result of the aforementioned conduct, M.H. suffered a concussion, continuous  
13 vomiting, severe physical pain, scrapes, cuts, bruises, extreme emotional distress,  
14 anxiety, depression, mental anguish, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of liberty, loss  
15 of fundamental statutory rights, and loss of educational and developmental  
16 opportunities. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, Airionna suffered severe  
17 physical pain, extreme emotional distress, anxiety, depression, mental anguish,  
18 humiliation, inconvenience, loss of liberty, loss of fundamental statutory rights, and  
19 loss of educational and developmental opportunities. The full extent of Plaintiffs'  
20 injuries and damages are not known at this time. Plaintiffs will articulate the damages  
21 once ascertained with particularity.

22 89. The acts and omissions of the individual Defendants were malicious, wanton,  
23 oppressive, and accomplished with a conscious disregard for the rights of Plaintiffs,  
24 entitling them to an award of exemplary and punitive damages.

25 90. KHSD and EAST BAKERSFIELD HIGH SCHOOL are vicariously liable  
26 for the wrongful acts of the individual Defendants, pursuant to Section 815.2(a) of  
27 the California Government Code, which provides that a public entity is liable for  
28 injuries caused by its employees within the scope of their employment if the

1 employee's act would subject him or her to liability.

2 **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

3 **Violation of the Bane Act (Cal. Civil Code §§ 52 and 52.1)**

4 **(PLAINTIFFS against all DEFENDANTS)**

5 91. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege each and every preceding allegation of this  
6 Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

7 92. As alleged herein, Defendants Officer Calvillo and DOES 1-10 interfered by  
8 intimidation, or coercion with Plaintiffs' rights under state and federal laws and under  
9 the state and federal constitution including, without limitation, the right to education,  
10 to be free from discrimination, unreasonable searches and seizures and malicious  
11 prosecution, including their rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to  
12 the United States Constitution, and their rights under Article 1, Sections 1, 7 and/or  
13 13 of the California Constitution.

14 93. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, M.H. suffered a concussion,  
15 continuous vomiting, severe physical pain, scrapes, cuts, bruises, extreme emotional  
16 distress, anxiety, depression, mental anguish, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of  
17 liberty, loss of fundamental statutory rights, and loss of educational and  
18 developmental opportunities. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, Airionna  
19 suffered severe physical pain, extreme emotional distress, anxiety, depression, mental  
20 anguish, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of liberty, loss of fundamental statutory  
21 rights, and loss of educational and developmental opportunities. The full extent of  
22 Plaintiffs' injuries and damages are not known at this time. Plaintiffs will articulate  
23 the damages once ascertained with particularity.

24 94. As a result of their conduct, Defendants are liable for Plaintiffs' injuries, either  
25 because they were integral participants in the misconduct, or because they failed to  
26 intervene to prevent these violations.

27 95. The acts and omissions of the individual Defendants were malicious, wanton,  
28 oppressive, and accomplished with a conscious disregard for the rights of Plaintiffs,

1 entitling them to an award of exemplary and punitive damages.

2 96. Plaintiffs seek statutory damages under California Civil Code §52.1(i), as  
3 well as compensatory and punitive damages according to proof.

4 97. KHSD and EAST BAKERSFIELD HIGH SCHOOL are vicariously liable  
5 for the wrongful acts of the individual Defendants, pursuant to Section 815.2(a) of  
6 the California Government Code, which provides that a public entity is liable for  
7 injuries caused by its employees within the scope of their employment if the  
8 employee's act would subject him or her to liability.

9 **NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

10 **Negligence (Cal. Govt. Code §§ 815.2(a), 820(a))**

11 **(PLAINTIFFS against all DEFENDANTS)**

12 98. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege each and every preceding allegation of this  
13 Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

14 99. At all times relevant, Defendants and each of them had official and actual  
15 notice of the significant risk of harm and abuse which Plaintiffs endured. Plaintiffs  
16 allege that each Defendant was vested with the responsibility of standing in the shoes  
17 of the parents and guardians of the children entrusted to their care. In accordance with  
18 the doctrine of *in loco parentis*, the Defendants possessed an independent and  
19 affirmative duty to protect the safety and welfare of their students.

20 100. California Education Code § 49000 and its litany mandate that children of  
21 school age are at the most vulnerable and impressionable period of their life, and it is  
22 wholly reasonable that the safeguards to the integrity and sanctity of their bodies  
23 should be at least equal to that afforded other citizens. California Education Code  
24 §220 states in pertinent part that “No person shall be subjected to discrimination on  
25 the basis of disability, gender, gender identity, gender expression, nationality, **race**  
26 **or ethnicity.**”

27 101. California Education Code § 49005 *et seq.* provides that staff may only use  
28 restraint in an emergency and not for the purpose of coercion, discipline,

1 convenience, or retaliation. California Education Code § 49005 further provides staff  
2 may not place a pupil in a facedown position with the pupil's hands held or restrained  
3 behind the pupil's back. Cal. Educ. Code § 49005.8(a)(5).

4 102. Plaintiffs allege that the foregoing statutes are amongst the most salient  
5 designed to protect children like M.H. and Airionna from the kind of harm they  
6 suffered at the hands of Defendants and each of them.

7 103. Each Defendant possessed an ongoing duty to protect and ensure Plaintiffs'  
8 safety and well-being while under their care and supervision.

9 104. Each Defendant possessed an ongoing duty to provide Plaintiffs with a safe,  
10 structured, supportive educational environment and experience, free from hostility,  
11 physical and psychological restraint, humiliation, harassment, intimidation and  
12 discrimination. Moreover, each Defendant, individually and collectively, possessed  
13 the knowledge, power and authority, or should have possessed the knowledge, power,  
14 and authority, to rectify the intolerable conditions and abuses Plaintiffs experienced.

15 105. Defendants breached their duty of care to Plaintiffs by subjecting Plaintiffs to  
16 excessive force, false arrest, racial slurs and discrimination and unreasonable  
17 expulsion; negligently hiring, retaining, training, assigning, supervising and  
18 disciplining faculty and staff who are predisposed to excessive force, false arrest  
19 and/or racial discrimination; and permitting, condoning, and ratifying the  
20 constitutional violations by Defendant MARK CALVILLO and DOES. Defendants  
21 also breached their duty of care to Plaintiff M.H. by subjecting him to malicious  
22 prosecution.

23 106. In doing the acts and omissions as herein alleged, Defendants engaged in acts  
24 and omissions which fell far below the standard of care owed to Plaintiffs. In so  
25 doing, the Defendants breached their respective duties of care by exposing Plaintiffs  
26 to unreasonable harm and abuse.

27 107. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, M.H. suffered a concussion,  
28 continuous vomiting, severe physical pain, scrapes, cuts, bruises, extreme emotional

1 distress, anxiety, depression, mental anguish, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of  
2 liberty, loss of fundamental statutory rights, and loss of educational and  
3 developmental opportunities. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, Airionna  
4 suffered severe physical pain, extreme emotional distress, anxiety, depression, mental  
5 anguish, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of liberty, loss of fundamental statutory  
6 rights, and loss of educational and developmental opportunities. The full extent of  
7 Plaintiffs' injuries and damages are not known at this time. Plaintiffs will articulate  
8 the damages once ascertained with particularity.

9 108. As a result of their conduct, Defendants are liable for Plaintiffs' injuries, either  
10 because they were integral participants in the misconduct, or because they failed to  
11 intervene to prevent these violations.

12 109. The acts and omissions of the individual Defendants were malicious, wanton,  
13 oppressive, and accomplished with a conscious disregard for the rights of Plaintiffs,  
14 entitling them to an award of exemplary and punitive damages.

15 110. KHSD and EAST BAKERSFIELD HIGH SCHOOL are vicariously liable  
16 for the wrongful acts of the individual Defendants, pursuant to Section 815.2(a) of  
17 the California Government Code, which provides that a public entity is liable for  
18 injuries caused by its employees within the scope of their employment if the  
19 employee's act would subject him or her to liability.

20 **TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

21 **Assault & Battery (Cal. Govt. Code §§ 815.2(a), 820(a))**

22 **(PLAINTIFFS against all DEFENDANTS)**

23 111. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every allegation contained in the  
24 foregoing paragraphs, as well as any subsequent paragraphs contained in the  
25 Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.

26 112. Defendants, individually and in their official capacities, while working for  
27 KHSD, and acting within the course and scope of their duties, assaulted and  
28 battered Plaintiffs when:

- 1 a. Officer Calvillo forcefully yanked M.H. by his arm twice;
- 2 b. Officer Calvillo yanked M.H. a third time, spun M.H. around so that
- 3 they were facing each other and deployed his pepper spray.
- 4 c. DOE 2, tackled M.H to the concrete ground and pressed his knee into
- 5 M.H.'s neck and back;
- 6 d. Officer Calvillo and DOES 2, 3 and 4 continuously pinned M.H. down
- 7 to the ground by applying the pressure of their bodyweight onto
- 8 M.H.'s neck, back and legs;
- 9 e. Officer Calvillo handcuffed M.H.;
- 10 f. Officer Calvillo forcefully pulled M.H. up off of the ground;
- 11 g. Officer Cavillo violently tackled and slammed M.H. onto the ground;
- 12 h. DOE 5 pepper sprayed Airionna;
- 13 i. DOE 5 slammed Airionna against the wall and handcuffed her; and
- 14 j. Officer Cavillo pulled M.H. off the floor.

113. Both prior to and during the time in which Plaintiffs were assaulted and  
battered, Plaintiffs did not commit a crime, were not armed with any kind of  
weapon and posed no reasonable threat of violence to the Defendants, nor to any  
other individual. When they were attacked, as described herein, Plaintiffs made no  
aggressive movements, no furtive gestures, and no physical movements which  
would suggest to a reasonable officer that either of them had the will or ability to  
inflict bodily harm against any individual.

114. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, M.H. suffered a concussion,  
continuous vomiting, severe physical pain, scrapes, cuts, bruises, extreme emotional  
distress, anxiety, depression, mental anguish, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of  
liberty, loss of fundamental statutory rights, and loss of educational and  
developmental opportunities. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, Airionna  
suffered severe physical pain, extreme emotional distress, anxiety, depression, mental  
anguish, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of liberty, loss of fundamental statutory

1 rights, and loss of educational and developmental opportunities. The full extent of  
2 Plaintiffs' injuries and damages are not known at this time. Plaintiffs will articulate  
3 the damages once ascertained with particularity.

4 115. As a result of their conduct, Defendants are liable for Plaintiffs' injuries, either  
5 because they were integral participants in the misconduct, or because they failed to  
6 intervene to prevent these violations.

7 116. The acts and omissions of the individual Defendants were malicious, wanton,  
8 oppressive, and accomplished with a conscious disregard for the rights of Plaintiffs,  
9 entitling them to an award of exemplary and punitive damages.

10 117. KHSD and EAST BAKERSFIELD HIGH SCHOOL are vicariously liable  
11 for the wrongful acts of the individual Defendants, pursuant to Section 815.2(a) of  
12 the California Government Code, which provides that a public entity is liable for  
13 injuries caused by its employees within the scope of their employment if the  
14 employee's act would subject him or her to liability.

15 **ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

16 **Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress (Cal. Govt. Code §§ 815.2(a), 820(a))**  
17 **(PLAINTIFFS against all DEFENDANTS)**

18 118. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and realleges each allegation contained in  
19 the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

20 119. Defendants' actions and inactions detailed above, including but not limited  
21 to, use of racial slur and derogatory comments, unjustifiably searching and seizing  
22 Plaintiffs, unjustifiably expelling Plaintiffs, using excessive force against Plaintiffs,  
23 falsifying police reports and subjecting Plaintiff M.H. to malicious prosecution,  
24 were done either with the intent to cause emotional distress or with reckless  
25 disregard of the probability of causing such emotional distress.

26 120. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, M.H. suffered a concussion,  
27 continuous vomiting, severe physical pain, scrapes, cuts, bruises, extreme emotional  
28 distress, anxiety, depression, mental anguish, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of

1 liberty, loss of fundamental statutory rights, and loss of educational and  
2 developmental opportunities. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, Airionna  
3 suffered severe physical pain, extreme emotional distress, anxiety, depression, mental  
4 anguish, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of liberty, loss of fundamental statutory  
5 rights, and loss of educational and developmental opportunities. The full extent of  
6 Plaintiffs' injuries and damages are not known at this time. Plaintiffs will articulate  
7 the damages once ascertained with particularity.

8 121. As a result of their conduct, Defendants are liable for Plaintiffs' injuries, either  
9 because they were integral participants in the misconduct, or because they failed to  
10 intervene to prevent these violations.

11 122. The acts and omissions of the individual Defendants were malicious, wanton,  
12 oppressive, and accomplished with a conscious disregard for the rights of Plaintiffs,  
13 entitling them to an award of exemplary and punitive damages.

14 123. KHSD and EAST BAKERSFIELD HIGH SCHOOL are vicariously liable  
15 for the wrongful acts of the individual Defendants, pursuant to Section 815.2(a) of  
16 the California Government Code, which provides that a public entity is liable for  
17 injuries caused by its employees within the scope of their employment if the  
18 employee's act would subject him or her to liability.

19 **TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

20 **False Arrest (Cal. Govt. Code §§ 815.2(a), 820(a))**

21 **(PLAINTIFFS against all DEFENDANTS)**

22 124. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every allegation contained in the  
23 foregoing paragraphs, as well as any subsequent paragraphs contained in the  
24 Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.

25 125. Defendants MARK CALVILLO and DOES 1-10, individually and in their  
26 official capacities, intentionally caused Plaintiffs to be arrested on without a warrant  
27 or probable cause.

28 126. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, M.H. suffered a concussion,

1 continuous vomiting, severe physical pain, scrapes, cuts, bruises, extreme emotional  
2 distress, anxiety, depression, mental anguish, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of  
3 liberty, loss of fundamental statutory rights, and loss of educational and  
4 developmental opportunities. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, Airionna  
5 suffered severe physical pain, extreme emotional distress, anxiety, depression, mental  
6 anguish, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of liberty, loss of fundamental statutory  
7 rights, and loss of educational and developmental opportunities. The full extent of  
8 Plaintiffs' injuries and damages are not known at this time. Plaintiffs will articulate  
9 the damages once ascertained with particularity.

10 127. As a result of their conduct, Defendants are liable for Plaintiffs' injuries, either  
11 because they were integral participants in the misconduct, or because they failed to  
12 intervene to prevent these violations.

13 128. The acts and omissions of the individual Defendants were malicious, wanton,  
14 oppressive, and accomplished with a conscious disregard for the rights of Plaintiffs,  
15 entitling them to an award of exemplary and punitive damages.

16 129. KHSD and EAST BAKERSFIELD HIGH SCHOOL are vicariously liable  
17 for the wrongful acts of the individual Defendants, pursuant to Section 815.2(a) of  
18 the California Government Code, which provides that a public entity is liable for  
19 injuries caused by its employees within the scope of their employment if the  
20 employee's act would subject him or her to liability.

21 **THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

22 **Malicious Prosecution (Cal. Govt. Code §§ 815.2(a), 820(a))**

23 **(PLAINTIFF M.H. against all DEFENDANTS)**

24 130. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the  
25 foregoing paragraphs, as well as any subsequent paragraphs contained in the  
26 Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.

27 131. Defendants MARK CALVILLO and DOES 1-10, individually and in their  
28 official capacities, were actively involved causing Plaintiff to be prosecuted for

1 resisting arrest. The case ended in Plaintiff's favor.

2 132. No reasonable person in Defendants MARK CALVILLO or DOES 1-10's,  
3 circumstances would have believed that there were reasonable grounds to prosecute  
4 Plaintiff for resisting arrest.

5 133. Defendants MARK CALVILLO and DOES 1-10 acted primarily for a  
6 purpose other than succeeding on the merits of the claim.

7 134. As a direct and proximate of Defendants' malicious prosecution, M.H. suffered  
8 extreme emotional distress, anxiety, depression, mental anguish, humiliation,  
9 inconvenience, loss of liberty, loss of fundamental statutory rights, and loss of  
10 educational and developmental opportunities. The full extent of Plaintiffs' injuries  
11 and damages are not known at this time. Plaintiffs will articulate the damages once  
12 ascertained with particularity.

13 135. As a result of their conduct, Defendants are liable for Plaintiff's injuries, either  
14 because they were integral participants in the misconduct, or because they failed to  
15 intervene to prevent this violation.

16 136. The acts and omissions of the individual Defendants were malicious, wanton,  
17 oppressive, and accomplished with a conscious disregard for the rights of Plaintiffs,  
18 entitling them to an award of exemplary and punitive damages.

19 137. KHSD and EAST BAKERSFIELD HIGH SCHOOL are vicariously liable  
20 for the wrongful acts of the individual Defendants, pursuant to Section 815.2(a) of  
21 the California Government Code, which provides that a public entity is liable for  
22 injuries caused by its employees within the scope of their employment if the  
23 employee's act would subject him or her to liability.

24     ///

25     ///

26     ///

27     ///

28     ///

## PRAAYER

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request entry of judgment in their favor and against Defendants as follows:

1. For compensatory (or general) damages, including pain and suffering, in an amount exceeding the minimum jurisdictional requirement of this Court according to proof;
2. For special damages according to proof;
3. Enjoin Defendants, their successors in office, agents, employees and assigns, and all persons acting in concert with them, to
  - a. Stop school police officers from mechanically restraining students and arresting students who engage in low level behaviors, up to and including ordering school police officers to cease patrolling District schools; and
  - b. Cease suspending and expelling minority students at disproportionate rates compared to their White counterparts for low level behaviors.
4. For punitive damages as provided by federal and state law, in an amount to be proved against the individual Defendants;
5. For prejudgment interest;
6. For attorneys' fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1988 & Civ. Code § 52.1(h);
7. For reasonable costs of this suit incurred herein; and

111

111

111

111

111

111

111

1 8. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just, proper and  
2 appropriate.

3  
4 Date: April 4, 2023

**PLC LAW GROUP, APC**

5 /s/ *Lauren K. McRae*  
6

7 Peter L. Carr, IV  
8 Na'Shaun L. Neal  
9 Lauren K. McRae  
10 Attorney for Plaintiffs  
11 AIRIONNA BLINK and M.H, a minor,  
12 by and through his Guardian ad Litem,  
13 TIFFANY ARTERBERRY

14  
15 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

16 Plaintiffs hereby respectfully demand a trial by jury on all issues and claims.

17  
18 Date: April 4, 2023

**PLC LAW GROUP, APC**

19 /s/ *Lauren K. McRae*  
20

21 Peter L. Carr, IV  
22 Na'Shaun L. Neal  
23 Lauren K. McRae  
24 Attorney for Plaintiffs  
25 AIRIONNA BLINK and M.H, a minor,  
26 by and through his Guardian ad Litem,  
27 TIFFANY ARTERBERRY